**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-20 have been examined.

I. **Preliminary Matters** 

The Examiner has objected to claims 5-10 and 14-20 as being in improper multiple

dependent claim form.

In view of the above, Applicant has amended the dependency of claims 6-10 and 16-20

and respectfully requests that the Examiner treat the claims on their merits in the next Office

Action.

In regard to claims 5, 14 and 15, Applicant traverses the Examiner's objection.

In particular, claim 5 is a singular dependent claim that is dependent upon multiple

dependent claim 4. It is not improper for a singular dependent claim to depend from a multiple

dependent claim (see MPEP 608.01(n)). Thus, Applicant submits that claim 5 should have been

treated on the merits in the present Office Action.

Turning to claim 14, Applicant submits that use of the term "either" renders the claim

acceptable (MPEP 608.01(n)). Furthermore, similar to claim 5, claim 15 is a singular dependent

claim that is dependent upon proper multiple dependent claim 14. Thus, Applicant submits that

claims 14 and 15 also should have been treated on their merits.

Irrespective of the above, Applicant has amended claim 14 to be a singular dependent

claim.

8

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q95360

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/583,851

Also, on page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner objects to claims 11-14 as not being

in proper "means" format. Accordingly, Applicant has amended the claims in a manner believed

to overcome the objection.

II. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4 and 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite.

In regard to claim 1, the Examiner maintains that the claim is directed to a method but

does not recite steps or processes involved in the method. Applicant respectfully traverses this

assertion. For example, while original claim 1 does not recite the method steps in a traditional

manner, a method is clearly encompassed by the claim. In particular, claim 1 recited that

radioactivity "is measured," results of the measurements "are transmitted," and the computer

"calculates" the results of the rate of dilution. Nevertheless, to expedite prosecution, Applicant

hereby amends the claims as requested by the Examiner, i.e., using "measuring" instead of

"measured," "transmitting" instead of "transmitted," etc.

In regard to claims 11-14 and in further regard to claims 1-4, the Examiner maintains that

certain recitations lack sufficient antecedent basis. Accordingly, Applicant has amended the

claims in a manner believed to overcome the rejection.

9

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/583,851

III.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Attorney Docket No.: Q95360

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Similar to the rejection of

claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, the Examiner maintains that the claims do

not recite a proper process. Without conceding to the Examiner's position, Applicant hereby

amends the claims in a manner believed to overcome the rejection.

IV. **Newly Added Claims** 

By this Amendment, Applicant has added claims 21-28 to provide more varied protection

of the present invention.

V. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Respectfully submitted,

/Allison M. Tulino/

Allison M. Tulino

Registration No. 48,294

Date: November 24, 2009

10